



**Supplemental Report Out  
Board of Retirement  
Agenda Item XIII. Executive Session, October 6, 2021 Meeting**

- C. Conference with Legal Counsel – Initiation of Litigation  
(Pursuant to Paragraph (4) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9)

Number of Cases: 1

In addition to the previously posted report, LACERA now reports that the Board of Retirement took action, on a motion by Mr. Kehoe, seconded by Mr. Santos, to authorize staff to file a lawsuit against the County of Los Angeles and the Board of Supervisors of the County of Los Angeles to obtain an order that, under the California Constitution and applicable statutory law, LACERA's governing Board of Retirement and Board of Investments have sole authority and duty to appoint and to set the classifications and compensation of all of LACERA's personnel and the County and Board of Supervisors shall, consistent with their ministerial duty, incorporate such personnel and compensation decision into the County's salary ordinance, including the positions, classifications, and salaries requested by LACERA on the agenda of the October 5, 2021 Board of Supervisors meeting. Messrs. Kehoe, Moore, Robbins, and Santos, Mses. Ginsberg, Gray, and Zapanta voted yes, and Mr. Bernstein voted no. Mr. Okum was absent. The lawsuit was filed in Los Angeles Superior Court on October 18, 2021, as Case No. 21STCP03475.

The Board took other action not yet subject to report.

**Report Out****Board of Retirement Meeting****Agenda Item XIII. Executive Session, October 6, 2021 Meeting**

- A. Conference with Legal Counsel – Existing Litigation  
(Pursuant to Paragraph (1) of Subdivision (d) of California Government Code Section 54956.9)

1. Ramos v. LACERA

On a motion by Mr. Harris, seconded by Mr. Santos, the Board approved to 1) Pay Oscar Ramos' court costs in the amount of \$622.12; and 2) Pay Oscar Ramos' attorney fees in the amount of \$12,450.00. The motion passed 8-0 (roll call), with Messrs. Bernstein, Santos, Kehoe, Robbins, Moore, and Mses. Gray, Zapanta, and Ginsberg voting yes. Trustee Okum was absent.

2. Edward V. Marquez v. LACERA

There was nothing to report.

- B. Conference with Legal Counsel – Anticipated Litigation  
Significant Exposure to Litigation (Pursuant to Paragraph (2) of Subdivision (d) of California Government Code Section 54956.9)

1. Administrative Appeal of Michele Jordan

There was nothing to report.

- C. Conference with Legal Counsel – Initiation of Litigation  
(Pursuant to Paragraph (4) of Subdivision (d) of Section 54956.9)

Number of Cases: 1

The Board of Retirement took action. However, there was nothing to report today. Report out will be made at a later date as required by the Brown Act.